The 500 Employee Size Limit in the Paycheck Protection Program Section of the CARES Act: How Does It Apply To Dealers?

**Disclaimer**

**NOTE:** The 500 employee provision that is discussed here is **NOT** the same as the 500 employee requirement present in the recently enacted Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA), which provides for paid sick and FMLA leave. Accordingly, none of the analysis that follows applies to the FFCRA.

**Important Distinction**

**NOTE:** The 500 employee provision that is discussed here is **NOT** the same as the 500 employee requirement present in the recently enacted Families First Coronavirus Response Act (FFCRA), which provides for paid sick and FMLA leave. Accordingly, none of the analysis that follows applies to the FFCRA.

**Background**

One key part of the $2.2 trillion Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act enacted in March is the creation of a new federally guaranteed loan program. And a key provision of that program is that only employers who have 500 or fewer employees are eligible to apply for covered loans. This raises the question of how that 500 employee limit will apply to a multi-store dealer that operates (and employs its staff through) a set of separately-organized, but commonly-controlled business concerns (stores). Will that dealer be required to aggregate all of the employees in those separate stores for purposes of determining whether the dealer meets the limit? Or will that dealer be able to treat those separate stores individually?

**Existing law/SBA “Affiliation” Rules**

Under existing law (prior to the enactment of the CARES Act), the SBA’s primary program for providing financial assistance to small businesses (including franchised new car dealers) is what is known as the Section 7(a) loan program. (In fact, for ease of enactment, the CARES Act is simply amending the current Section 7(a) law.) Under the pre-CARES Act regime, the SBA has a complicated set of “affiliation” rules that require an entity to aggregate its separately-organized, commonly-controlled business concerns when calculating, among other things, how many employees that entity has for purposes of Section 7(a) loan consideration. Those complex rules are described in the SBA publication available here.

**CARES Act Treatment of Existing Affiliation Rules**

As a general matter, it appears that the SBA’s existing affiliation rules will apply to potential loan applicants under the CARES Act. **However, for franchised car and truck dealers, there is an important provision in the CARES Act that should provide substantial relief.** Specifically, section 1102(a)(2) of the Act provides, in relevant part, that the SBA’s normal affiliation rules will **not** apply to:
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any business concern operating as a franchise that is assigned a franchise identifier code by the [SBA].

**Franchise Identifier Code**

So, what is a “franchise identifier code”? A franchise identifier code is issued by the SBA with respect to specific name brands and is used under the existing Section 7(a) loan program in connection with loan applications by franchisees, including new car and truck dealers. Franchisors (OEMs) need to take a series of steps to receive a franchise code from SBA. In particular, to obtain an code for a given brand, an OEM/franchisor must submit to the SBA (at franchise@sba.gov) its sales and service agreement, a Franchise Disclosure Document (FDD) (if applicable), and all other documents the franchisor requires the franchisee to sign. OEMs/franchisors must also agree to execute an SBA Addendum to Franchise Agreement. That latter form may be found here. SBA has created an SBA Franchise Directory of all franchises reviewed by the SBA that have been issued franchise identifier codes. The current Directory may be found here.

**Current Status of OEM Franchise Identifier Codes**

Currently, all new car and truck OEMs have been issued franchise identifier codes. This was not the case when the CARES Act was first passed. At that time, only about 25% of the OEMs had codes. However, in response to strong urging from NADA, all OEMs without codes quickly applied for them. And, again in response to NADA’s advocacy, the SBA has now granted all of those applications.

**Impact on Dealer SBA Applications**

As long as a dealer’s franchisor has obtained a franchise identifier code, the dealer’s business concerns (stores) of that brand should be able to determine their employee count eligibility without applying the normally applicable affiliation rules.

This outcome can be illustrated by the following example. Assume that a dealer group has 3 commonly-controlled but separately-organized business concerns, each operating a store with 200 employees. If the affiliation rules apply, that organization would be deemed to have 600 employees and would not be eligible for a loan under the CARES Act. But if the three stores were all Ford stores then, because Ford has a franchise identifier code, the affiliation rules would not apply and each of the three stores could apply separately for a loan. However, if that dealer also controlled a separately-organized, non-franchised body shop that only had 100 employees, the affiliation rules would apply to that body shop (as it has no franchise identifier code) and because it was under common control with the other entities owned by the dealer it would be deemed to have 700 employees (100 +200+200+200) and would therefore be ineligible for a CARES Act loan.

*   *   *   *   *   *   *   *

*As noted at the outset, we believe that the foregoing analysis will be correct, but no implementing guidance has yet been issued by the SBA. Accordingly, this analysis may change over time with new information and developments. Until guidance confirming this analysis is forthcoming from the SBA, no definitive conclusions may be drawn.*
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